

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/644,728	08/21/2003	Toyotaka Hirao	241563US3CONT	6197
22850	7590 06/10/2005		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			FORD, JOHN K	
	NA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		3753	

DATE MAILED: 06/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		E			
,	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	10/644,728	HIRAO ET AL.			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	John K. Ford	3753			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED MAY FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICAT					
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: The period for reply expires	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep	ffidavit, or other evidence with 37 (by must be filed within	ence, which CFR 41.31; or n one of the		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b)	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o . ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI	e final rejection, whicheven f the final rejection.	er is later. In no		
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)		
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must I <u>AMENDMENTS</u>	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal (of the appeal.		
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)) 	onsideration and/or search (see NC ow); tter form for appeal by materially re corresponding number of finally re	TE below); educing or simplifyinç			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		•	,		
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).	allowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendn	nent canceling		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		vill be entered and an	explanation of		
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: and 8					
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	·				
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ails to provide a		
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or atta	ched.		
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application TP IO-226221 Figure (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	in condition for allow Z and JP 6 No(s).	once because: 0-1280(2		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 13. Other: (Fig I a). Applicant is mistaken in his Bratement that the Examiner relies in Kojima for this feature.					
Examiner relies on	. Kojima tinthis feo	tue.			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05)

Explanation of rejection of new claim 8 - New claim 8 will be rejected based on the same grounds as set forth for claims 1-6 in the final office advair of 1/31/05. This rejection can be found on pages 3-5 of that office action.

In addition, claim 8 will be rejected on the same grounds as set forth for claim 4 in the final office action of 1/31/05. This rejection can be found on page 5 of that office action.

John K. Pord Primary Examine